1	WO		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8			
9	United States of America,	NO. 17-01961MJ-001	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
11	v.		
12	Cristian Edgardo Mejia-Irias,	9	
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	1507 1721 PR 100117 IN 1000 PR	ct, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing	
16			
17	(Check one or both, as applicable.)		
18	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and		
19	require the detention of the defendant pending		
20	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and		
21	require the detention of the defendant pending		
22	PART I FINDI		
23		e defendant has been convicted of a (federal	
24	offense)(state or local offense that would have		
25	giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed)		
26	a crime of violence as defined in		
27		um sentence is life imprisonment or death.	
28	an offense for which a maxim	um term of imprisonment of ten years or	

1	more is prescribed in				
2	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of tw	0			
3	or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), of the second of the seco	or			
4	comparable state or local offenses.				
5	any felony that involves a minor victim or that involves the possession of	or			
6	use of a firearm or destructive device (as those terms are defined in section 921),			
7	or any other dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	§			
8	2250.				
9	(2) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was	ıs			
10	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local				
1	offense.				
2	(3) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years have	ıs			
13	elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the	ie			
14	offense described in finding 1.				
15	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that n	10			
16	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other	er			
17	person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this				
8	presumption.				
19	Alternative Findings				
20	☐ (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the	ie			
21	defendant has committed an offense				
22	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more	is			
23	prescribed in	1			
24	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).				
25	under 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1594, for which a maximum term of imprisonment	nt			
26	of 20 years or more is prescribed.				
27					

28

¹ Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

1			an offense involving a minor victim under section 18 U.S.C. §	
2			.2	
3		(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1	
4	that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of			
5	the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
6			Alternative Findings	
7		(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or	
8	comb	oination	of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as	
9	required.			
10		(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety	
11	of others and the community.			
12		(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to	
13	obstr	uct just	ice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).	
14		(4)	·	
15		DADT	II WDITTEN CTATEMENT OF DEACONC FOR DETENTION	
16		PARI	II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)	
17		(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing	
18	estab	lishes b		
19			by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	
20			by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	
-~	A	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:	
	A	(2)		
21	A	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:	
21	A	illega	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States	
21 22 23	A	N N	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States	
21 22 23 24		illega	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States ally.	
21 22 23 24 25		illega	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States ally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the entry as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251(a),	
21		illega	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States ally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the	

^{- 3 -}

1	Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the			
2	jurisdiction of this Court.			
3	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the			
4	District of Arizona.			
5	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she			
6	might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
7	☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
8	☐ The defendant lives and works in Mexico.			
9	☐ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona			
10	or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
11	☐ There is a record of prior failure to comply with court order.			
12	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from			
13	law enforcement.			
14	☐ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of			
15	incarceration and a maximum of			
16	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services			
17	Report, except:			
18				
19	☐ In addition:			
20				
21	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency			
22	which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.			
23				

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation

with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 12/7/2017

James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge